

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/552,641	HIGUCHI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		SARAE BAUSCH	1634

**All Participants:**

(1) SARAE BAUSCH.

(2) Olga Kay.

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

*Proposed examiner's amendments to claims 1-2*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants representative agreed to the proposed amendment to the claims to place the claims in condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sarae Bausch/  
Primary Examiner, AU 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)